

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/662,619 | 09/15/2003 | Hyun Jin Kim | 0EKM-104792 | 9762 |
| 30764 | 764 7590 08/25/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP | | | GORDON, RAEANN | |
| 333 SOUTH F 48TH FLOOR | HOPE STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ES, CA 90071-1448 | | 3711 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/662,619 | KIM ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Raeann Gorden | 3711 | | | |
| Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re | PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed is will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) ⊠ [| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Se</u> | eptember 2003. | | | | |
| 2a)□ ¯ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | |
| (| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 6 | Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicatio | on Papers | | | | | |
| 10)☐ T , , | The specification is objected to by the Examine in the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Examine. | epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a)[| cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents The priority documents | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| | 4) Intorvious Summer | /DTO 413\ | | | |
| 2) | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 3711

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg 8/20/04

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-41, drawn to a golf ball, classified in class 473, subclass 351.
- II. Claims 42-47 drawn to a method, classified in class 156, subclass 146.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a different process can make the golf ball. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim